## Cosmological relaxation of the EW scale

Giuliano Panico

IFAE, Barcelona

Montpellier – 15 October 2015

based on J.R. Espinosa, C. Grojean, G. P., A. Pomarol, O. Pujolàs, G. Servant arXiv:1506.09217

The Higgs discovery and the recent LHC measurements confirm that the Standard Model (i.e. the **Higgs mechanism**) correctly describes the main features of the **EW Symmetry Breaking** dynamics





The SM is not a complete theory, several phenomena unexplained

- origin of neutrino masses
- dark matter
- full description of gravity
- ...



The SM is not a complete theory, several phenomena unexplained

- origin of neutrino masses
- dark matter
- full description of gravity
- ...



#### More fundamental theory necessarily present!

## Introduction: the Hierarchy Problem

Obstruction to get a **predictive** extension of the SM:

#### the Hierarchy Problem

- ▶ the Higgs mass is highly sensitive to new physics
- ▶ its **natural** value of  $m_h$  is of the order of the new-physics scale  $\Lambda_{NP}$

$$\delta m_h^2 \big|_{1-loop} \sim \frac{h}{1-1} \sim -\frac{h}{1-1} \sim -\frac{y_{top}^2}{8\pi^2} \Lambda_{NP}^2 \gg (125 \text{ GeV})^2$$

# Introduction: the Hierarchy Problem

Obstruction to get a **predictive** extension of the SM:

#### the Hierarchy Problem

- ▶ the Higgs mass is highly sensitive to new physics
- ▶ its **natural** value of  $m_h$  is of the order of the new-physics scale  $\Lambda_{NP}$

$$\delta m_h^2 \big|_{1-loop} \sim \frac{h}{1-1} \sim -\frac{h}{1-1} \sim -\frac{y_{top}^2}{8\pi^2} \Lambda_{NP}^2 \gg (125 \text{ GeV})^2$$

huge cancellation needed to keep the Higgs mass small

$$m_h^2 = m_h^2 \big|_{bare} + \delta m_h^2 \big|_{1-loop} = (125 \text{ GeV})^2$$

Ioss of predictivity!

Is the Higgs mass really unnatural?

look for extensions of the SM that avoid the Hierarchy Problem

The origin of the **Hierarchy problem** can be equivalently understood as the requirement that Higgs potential satisfies two conditions near the same point

- (i) a zero of the first derivative (local minimum)
- (ii) a zero of the second derivative (Higgs mass and EW scale much smaller than the overall scale,  $m_h, v \ll \Lambda$ )

In a generic potential a **fine-tuning** is required to obtain the two conditions simultaneously.

# Introduction: Solutions of the Hierarchy Problem

"Classical" mechanisms to solve the Hierarchy problem

- ► New physics at the TeV scale stabilizes the EW scale (eg. low-scale Supersymmetry, Composite Higgs, ...)
  - Avoid condition (ii) by assuming that  $\Lambda \sim v \sim m_h$

$$\delta m_h^2 \big|_{1-loop} \sim \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} \frac{t_{op}}{t_{op}} \cdots \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} + \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} \frac{t_{op}}{1-t_{op}} \wedge \frac{y_{top}^2}{8\pi^2} \Lambda_{NP}^2 \lesssim (\text{TeV})^2$$

► Large Landscape with huge number of minima

- Ensamble of realized vacua spans all possible EW scales
- Anthropic selection of correct vacuum

# Introduction: Solutions of the Hierarchy Problem

#### New solution

► "Relaxation" of the EW scale

[Graham, Kaplan, Rajendran, 1504.07551] (see also earlier work by Abbott 85; Dvali, Vilenkin 04; Dvali 06)

- condition (i) avoided by a potential with vacua "everywhere" (eg. oscillating function can have infinite set of minima)
- "correct" minimum selected dynamically through a backreaction of EWSB

# The "minimal" realization



- Higgs mass determined by the evolution of  $\phi$
- $\phi$  must be stabilized where  $|m^2(\phi)|\ll \Lambda^2$
- this structure can arise from a "clever" dynamical interplay between H and  $\phi$

The potential generate an interplay between the Higgs h and an axion-like field  $\phi$ 

$$V(\phi,h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left( 1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda} \right) h^2 + \varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$

The potential generate an interplay between the Higgs h and an axion-like field  $\phi$ 

$$V(\phi,h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left(1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda}\right) h^2 + \varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left(\frac{h}{\Lambda_c}\right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$

"Kicking" term makes  $\phi$  slide forward

The potential generate an interplay between the Higgs h and an axion-like field  $\phi$ 

$$V(\phi,h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi \left( -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left( 1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda} \right) h^2 + \varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f) \right)$$

 $\phi$  "scans" the Higgs mass

The potential generate an interplay between the Higgs h and an axion-like field  $\phi$ 

$$V(\phi,h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left( 1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda} \right) h^2 \left( + \varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f) \right)$$

$$n = 1, 2...$$

#### "self-regulating" term

stops  $\phi$  when h turns on (periodic function of  $\phi$ as for axion-like states)

The potential generate an interplay between the Higgs h and an axion-like field  $\phi$ 

$$V(\phi,h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left( 1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda} \right) h^2 + \varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$

- $\Lambda$  cut off of the theory
- $\Lambda_c~$  scale at which the periodic term originates

#### Spurions:

- $$\label{eq:expectation} \begin{split} \varepsilon \ll 1 & \mbox{breaking of the shift symmetry} \quad \phi \to \phi + c \\ & \mbox{respecting} \quad \phi \to 2\pi f, \ \phi \to -\phi \end{split}$$
- $g \ll 1 ~~{\rm full}$  breaking of the shift symmetry









Cosmological evolution

$$V(\phi, h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left( 1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda} \right) h^2 + \varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$

$$(\phi)$$

$$(h) \neq 0$$

$$(h) \neq$$

)

Cosmological evolution

$$V(\phi, h) = \Lambda^{3}g\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^{2}\left(1 - \frac{g\phi}{\Lambda}\right)h^{2} + \varepsilon\Lambda_{c}^{4}\left(\frac{h}{\Lambda_{c}}\right)^{n}\cos(\phi/f)$$

$$V(\phi)$$

$$(h) \neq 0$$

$$(h) = \frac{\Lambda^{3}f}{\Lambda_{c}^{3}\varepsilon} \ll \Lambda \quad \text{for } g \ll 1$$

• Notice that large field excursions for  $\phi$  needed:  $\phi \sim \Lambda/g \gg \Lambda$ 

$$V(\phi,h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left( 1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda} \right) h^2 + \varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$



How do we stop in the correct minimum? Should we **tune the initial conditions**?

How do we stop in the correct minimum? Should we **tune the initial conditions**?

**No**, if  $\phi$  slow-rolls!

- possible if a friction is present
   (eg. during the inflationary epoch, through Hubble friction)
- $\succ \phi$  must "scan" large ranges of the Higgs mass, a long period of inflation is needed

e-folds needed: 
$$N_e \gtrsim rac{H_I^2}{g^2 \Lambda^2} \sim 10^{40}$$

#### Important constraint:

 $\phi$  must slow-roll  $\mbox{classically}$  so that quantum effects do not generate a large spreading

Which is the origin of 
$$\varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$
 ?

Which is the origin of 
$$arepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( rac{h}{\Lambda_c} 
ight)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$
 ?

$$n = 1$$

axion term from QCD condensate: 
$$\Lambda_c = \Lambda_{
m QCD}$$
  
 $m_u(h)\langle q \overline{q} 
angle \cos(\phi/f)$ 

Which is the origin of 
$$arepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( rac{h}{\Lambda_c} 
ight)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$
 ?

$$n=1$$
 axion term from QCD condensate:  $\Lambda_c=\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$  
$$m_u(h)\langle q\overline{q}\rangle\cos(\phi/f)$$

problem: too large  $\theta_{\rm QCD} \sim 1$  due to linear tilt!

$$\Lambda^3 g \phi$$
  $\clubsuit$ 

can be solved if the tilt disappears after inflation

Low cut-off:  $\Lambda \lesssim 30 \ {\rm TeV}$ 

Which is the origin of 
$$\varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$
 ?

n=2 gauge invariant, generated by new-physics at scale  $\Lambda_c$ (no need to rely on QCD)  $\varepsilon \Lambda_c^2 |H|^2 \cos(\phi/f)$ 

Which is the origin of 
$$\varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$
 ?

|n=2| gauge invariant, generated by new-physics at scale  $\Lambda_c$ (no need to rely on QCD)  $\varepsilon \Lambda_{a}^{2} |H|^{2} \cos(\phi/f)$ 

> problem: quantum corrections from Higgs loop  $\Rightarrow \epsilon \Lambda_a^4 \cos(\phi/f)$

"Relaxation" only works if Higgs barrier dominates

 $\Lambda_c \leq v$ 

New-dynamics must be around the EW scale!

Which is the origin of 
$$\varepsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$
 ?

n = 2

gauge invariant, generated by new-physics at scale  $\Lambda_c$ (no need to rely on QCD)  $\varepsilon \Lambda_c^2 |H|^2 \cos(\phi/f)$ 

> New-physics at the LHC is still required though it arises from an "unusual" motivation (needed to generate the periodic potential)

Extra drawback: "coincidence problem" why  $\Lambda_c \sim v$ ?

Can we make the new-physics scale larger?

# Raising the cut-off

Add an additional field  $\sigma$  "modulates" the periodic potential

# $\begin{aligned} \textbf{Field-dependent amplitude} \\ A\cos(\phi/f) & \longrightarrow \quad A(\phi,\sigma,H) = \varepsilon \Lambda^4 \left(\beta + c_\phi \frac{g_\phi}{\Lambda} - c_\sigma \frac{g_\sigma \sigma}{\Lambda} + \frac{|H|^2}{\Lambda^2}\right) \end{aligned}$

Two "scanners" potential

$$V(\phi, \sigma, H) = \Lambda^4 \left( \frac{g\phi}{\Lambda} + \frac{g_{\sigma}\sigma}{\Lambda} \right) + m^2(\phi) |H|^2 + A(\phi, \sigma, H) \cos(\phi/f)$$

Add an additional field  $\sigma$  "modulates" the periodic potential



Add an additional field  $\sigma$  "modulates" the periodic potential

 $\label{eq:Field-dependent amplitude} \begin{aligned} & \textbf{Field-dependent amplitude} \\ & A\cos(\phi/f) & \longrightarrow & A(\phi,\sigma,H) = \varepsilon \Lambda^4 \left(\beta + c_\phi \frac{g_\phi}{\Lambda} - c_\sigma \frac{g_\sigma \sigma}{\Lambda} + \frac{|H|^2}{\Lambda^2}\right) \end{aligned}$ 

Two "scanners" potential

$$V(\phi, \sigma, H) = \Lambda^4 \left(\frac{g\phi}{\Lambda} + \frac{g_{\sigma}\sigma}{\Lambda}\right) + m^2(\phi)|H|^2 + A(\phi, \sigma, H)\cos(\phi/f)$$

• We take  $\Lambda \sim \Lambda_c$  and see how much we can push it up

$$V(\phi, \sigma, H) = \Lambda^{4} \left( \frac{g\phi}{\Lambda} + \frac{g_{\sigma}\sigma}{\Lambda} \right) + m^{2}(\phi)|H|^{2} + A(\phi, \sigma, H)\cos(\phi/f)$$

$$A(\phi, \sigma, H) = \varepsilon\Lambda^{4} \left( \beta + c_{\phi} \frac{g\phi}{\Lambda} - c_{\sigma} \frac{g_{\sigma}\sigma}{\Lambda} + \frac{|H|^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \right)$$

$$(H)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{c}(H)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{c}(H)^{\frac$$





$$V(\phi, \sigma, H) = \Lambda^{4} \left( \frac{g\phi}{\Lambda} + \frac{g\sigma\sigma}{\Lambda} \right) + m^{2}(\phi)|H|^{2} + A(\phi, \sigma, H)\cos(\phi/f)$$

$$A(\phi, \sigma, H) = \varepsilon\Lambda^{4} \left( \beta + c_{\phi} \frac{g\phi}{\Lambda} - c_{\sigma} \frac{g\sigma\sigma}{\Lambda} + \frac{|H|^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \right)$$

$$(H)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi c_{c}(H)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi$$

$$(H)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi c_{c}(H)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi$$

$$(H)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi$$

$$(H)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

**Stage II:**  $\phi$  "tracks"  $\sigma$ 



**Stage III:**  $\phi$  enters the minimum



**Stage IV:**  $\phi$  stabilized

Potential for  $\phi$  in the four stages:



# Constraints

- $\varepsilon \lesssim v^2/\Lambda^2$  keep under control quantum corrections
- $g \lesssim \Lambda/M_{Pl}$  slow-roll condition
- $H_I^3 \lesssim g_\sigma \Lambda^3$  avoid quantum effects spoiling classical rolling
- $g_{\sigma} \lesssim g$  allow  $\phi$  tracking  $\sigma$
- $\Lambda^2/M_{Pl} \lesssim H_I$  avoid backreaction of  $\phi$  and  $\sigma$  on inflation

Stabilization of the EW scale:  $v^2 \simeq {g \Lambda f \over arepsilon}$ 

upper bound on the cut-off

 $\Lambda \lesssim (v^4 M_{Pl}^3)^{1/7} \simeq 2 \times 10^9 \,\, {\rm GeV}$ 

## UV origin of the periodic term



Gives the needed potential if the mass of N is given by

$$m_N \simeq \varepsilon \left( \Lambda + g_\sigma \sigma + g \phi - \frac{|H|^2}{\Lambda} \right)$$
from integrating
a fermion doublet L

# Phenomenological implications

- $\succ$  No state detectable at the LHC
- $\succ \phi$  and  $\sigma$  are the only BSM states below  $\Lambda$

light scalars weakly-coupled to the SM

 $m_{\phi} \sim 10^{-20} - 10^2 \text{ GeV}$  $m_{\sigma} \sim 10^{-45} - 10^{-8} \text{ GeV}$ 

mixing to the SM through the Higgs:  $|H|^2\cos\phi/f\,,\qquad g\phi|H|^2$ 

• Bechmark values for  $\Lambda \sim 10^9~{\rm GeV}$ 

$$\begin{split} m_{\phi} &\sim 100 \text{ GeV} & m_{\sigma} &\sim 10^{-18} \text{ GeV} \\ \theta_{\phi h} &\sim 10^{-21} & \theta_{\sigma h} &\sim 10^{-50} \\ \phi \phi hh \text{ coupling} &\sim 10^{-14} \end{split}$$

## Cosmological consequences

#### > Many constraints from cosmology

dark matter overabundance, late decays, BBN bounds,  $\gamma\text{-rays},$  CMB, pulsar timing observations,  $\ldots$ 

> Oscillations of  $\sigma$  can provide a **Dark Matter candidate** 



#### Parameter space



#### Parameter space



#### Constraints on the parameter space



#### Constraints on the parameter space



#### Constraints on the parameter space



# Conclusions

# Conclusions

The "Relaxation" models provide an "existence proof" of natural theories with a high cut-off scale  $~(\Lambda\sim 10^9~{\rm GeV})$ 

#### Good features:

Change of paradigm

- new physics is given by weakly-coupled light states
- not detectable at high-energy collider experiments

Other type of experiments needed

• astrophysics ( $\gamma$ -rays, pulsar timing, ...), CMB, fifth-force searches, ...

#### Ugly features:

Huge number of inflation e-folds  $N_e>10^{38}$  (if high cut-off is required) Super-Planckian field excursions

#### Future directions:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Are there ways to avoid the limit on the cut-off  $\Lambda \lesssim 10^9 \ {\rm GeV?}$
- UV completion? How to get the double breaking of the shift symmetry in the "axion" potential? Connection with SUSY?

[see Gupta, Komargodski, Perez and Ubaldi, arXiv:1509.00047, Batell, Giudice, McCullough, arXiv:1509.00834]

- $\blacktriangleright\,$  Find suitable inflationary models with huge  $N_e$
- Alternative sources of friction, disentangling the "relaxation" mechanism from inflation
  - proposal to do this at finite temperature [Hardy, arXiv:1507.07525]